

# No Child Left Behind (NCLB) School Report: 2008-09 School Year Newtown School District



The No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 requires a statewide school accountability system. As part of this system, school districts receiving federal dollars based on the percentage of district students in poverty (referred to as Title I funds) must prepare and disseminate annual accountability reports which in Connecticut, present the performance of students in mathematics and reading on the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) and the Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT), as well as information about the qualifications of teachers based on the federal definition of "highly qualified" teachers.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The state is required to determine annually if every district is making adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward reaching the goal, by 2014, of having 100 percent of its student population scoring at or above the Proficient level in mathematics and reading on the CMT and CAPT. For a district to have made AYP, the following criteria must have been met by all students in the district and be each subgroup (majo racial and ethnic groups, students in poverty, students with disabilities and English language learners): (1) 95 percent participation on both the mathematics and reading CMT and CAPT; (2) achievement of the AYP target percentage at or above Proficient in mathematics and reading on the CMT and CAPT; and (3) achievement of the AYP target for an additional academic indicator, 70 percent at or above Basic on the writing subtest of the CMT or improvement from the previous year. If a school does not make AYP for two consecutive years, it will be identified as "in need of improvement." More information about AYP can be found on Page 2 of this report.



#### **Contents of NCLB District Report:**

- Page 2: Adequate Yearly Progress Data
- Page 3: Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) Achievement Data
- Page 4: Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) Achievement Data
- Page 5: Qualifications of Teachers Teaching in the Core Academic Areas
- Page 6: National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP)

# Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Status Data for the 2008-09 School Year

Based on 2009 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) results and the 2009 Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT)

The tables below show this district's performance on the AYP indicators. A district fails AYP if there is a "No" under the AYP Target Met column for BOTH the CMT and the CAPT. Only students who were enrolled in this district for the full academic year were inleuded in these calculations.

### Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) Results (Grades 3 through 8)

		Particip	ation Rate	e (95 % pa	rticipatio	n needed	)	% At or Above Proficient						
Subgroup	M	lathematic	es		Reading		AYP	Mathematics (82 9	% proficient needed)	Reading (79 % proficient needed)				
Subgroup	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.	Target Met?	AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?	AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?			
Whole District	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	97.8	Yes	94.4	Yes			
American Indian		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	ents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Asian American	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	100	Yes	100	Yes			
Black	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	100	Yes	96.5	Yes			
Hispanic	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	99.8	Yes	92.4	Yes			
White	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	97.8	Yes	94.8	Yes			
Students with Disabilities	100	100	100	100	100	100	Yes	72.1	No	56.6	No			
English Language Learners		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup	•	Fewer than 40 stud	ents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Economically Disadvantaged	99.3	99	100	99.3	99	100	Yes	92	Yes	87.3	Yes			
Additional Academic Indicator: Writing				AY	P Target	Met?		Yes						

## **Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) Results (Grade 10)**

		Particip	ation Rat	e (95 % pa	articipatio	n needed)	)	% At or Above Proficient						
Subaroup	M	Iathematic	es	Reading			AYP	Mathematics (80	% proficient needed)	Reading (81 % proficient needed)				
Subgroup	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.	Current	2 Year Avg.	3 Year Avg.	Target Met?	AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?	AYP Calculation	AYP Target Met?			
Whole District	99	99.8	99.8	99	99.9	99.6	Yes	95.8	Yes	97.5	Yes			
American Indian		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Asian American		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Black		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Hispanic		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
White	99	99.8	99.8	100	99.8	99.6	Yes	95.9	Yes	98.1	Yes			
Students with Disabilities	95	97.6	97.7	95	100	98.3	Yes	Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
English Language Learners		Fewe	r than 40	students	in this su	bgroup		Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup				
Economically Disadvantaged Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup							Fewer than 40 stud	lents in this subgroup	Fewer than 40 students in this subgroup					
Additional Academic Indicator: Graduation Rate AYP Target Met?								Yes						

Newtown School District Page 2 of 5

# 2009 Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT) Achievement Data

### Newtown School District

NCLB requires assessment data to be reported by differenct achievement levels. The table below shows the percentage of students scoring at or above the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced levels on the spring 2009 CMT. The numbers on this page were calculated only for groups of 20 or more. The percentage at or above Proficient will not be the same number as on the previous page. The number on the previous page is based on a federally approved AYP calculation used to analyze the adequate yearly progress of districts. In addition, it includes only those students who were in the district for a full academic year; the number on this page includes all students, regardless of their length of time in the district.

			M	ath					Rea	ading			Writing		
	Total # Students	# Students Tested in	% Students Tested in	% of St	udents Scorin Above	ng At or	Total # Students	Tested in	% Students Tested in	% of Students Scoring At or Above			% of Students Scoring At or		
		Subject	Subject	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		Subject	Subject	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	Above Basic		
Whole District	2703	2702	100	96	95	53	2703	2702	100	94	91	34	97		
Subgroup Achievement	Subgroup Achievement														
American Indian	1	1	Fewer th	Fewer than 20 students in this subgroup				1		Fewer than	n 20 students	s in this sub	group		
Asian American	78	78	100	97	96	65	78	78	100	96	92	54	99		
Black	42	42	100	98	95	36	42	42	100	86	81	36	95		
Hispanic	94	94	100	94	93	34	94	94	100	86	82	15	96		
White	2488	2487	100	96	95	53	2488	2487	100	94	91	34	97		
Students with Disabilities	212	212	100	59	52	8	212	212	100	44	37	<5%	71		
English Language Learners	0	0	Fewer th	an 20 stud	ents in this s	subgroup	0	0		Fewer than	n 20 students	s in this sub	group		
Economically Disadvantaged	137	136	99	89	83	35	137	136	99	82	77	13	92		
Male	1410	1409	100	96	94	53	1410	1409	100	92	89	31	96		
Female	1293	1293	100	97	96	52	1293	1293	100	96	92	37	99		
State Achievement	254983	254220	100	89	81	28	254983	254137	100	81	74	21	92		

## 2009 Connecticut Academic Performance Test (CAPT) Achievement Data

## Newtown School District

NCLB requires assessment data to be reported by differenct achievement levels. The table below shows the percentage of students scoring at or above the Basic, Proficient, and Advanced levels on the spring 2008 CAPT. The numbers on this page were calculated only for groups of 20 or more. The percentage at or above Proficient will not be the same number as on the previous page. The number on the previous page is based on a federally approved AYP calculation used to analyze the adequate yearly progress of districts. In addition, it includes only those students who were in the district for a full academic year; the number on this page includes all students, regardless of their length of time in the district.

			M	ath					Rea	nding						
	Total # Students	# Students Tested in	% Students Tested in	% of S	tudents Scorin Above	ng At or	Total # Students	# Students Tested in	% Students Tested in	% of Students Scoring At or Above			Graduation Rate			
		Subject	Subject	Basic	Proficient	Advanced		Subject	Subject	Basic	Proficient	Advanced				
Whole District	436	431	99	96	91	27	436	430	99	94	91	34	97			
Subgroup Achievement																
American Indian			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0				
Asian American	12	12	Fewer th	an 20 stud	ents in this s	subgroup	12	12	Fewer th	an 20 stud	Graduation rate by subgroup					
Black	4	4	Fewer th	an 20 stud	ents in this s	subgroup	4	4	Fewer th	an 20 stud						
Hispanic	16	16	Fewer th	an 20 stud	ents in this s	subgroup	16	16	Fewer th	an 20 stud						
White	404	399	99	96	91	27	404	398	99	94	92	34	will not be available until			
Students with Disabilities	40	38	95	58	32	<5%	40	38	95	37	26	<5%	the class of 2010			
English Language Learners			100	0	0	0			100	0	0	0				
Economically Disadvantaged	20	19	Fewer th	an 20 stud	ents in this s	subgroup	20	19	Fewer th	an 20 stud	lents in this s	subgroup				
Male	203	202	100	97	94	30	203	202	100	95	90	25				
Female	233	229	100	96	90	25	233	228	100	93	92	42				
State Achievement	44230	43277	98	86	75	18	44230	43194	98	88	78	20	91			

## **Qualifications of Teachers Teaching in the Core Academic Areas**

### Newtown

#### **Table 1. General District Information**

These data are provided to describe your district because the NCLB law requires that poor or minority students are not taught by inexperienced, unqualified, or out-of-field teachers at a higher rate than other students.

District Percent Poverty:	4.1
District Percent Minority:	7.8
Percent of Teachers 2 or less years of experience:	11.2
District percent of classes taught by teachers holding emergency or temporary certification	0.9
State percent of classes taught by teachers holding emergency or temporary certification	0.6

#### Table 2. General Teacher and Class Information

These data are your highly qualified and not highly qualified teacher FTEs as well as the number of classes taught by highly qualified and not highly qualified teachers.

Total Teacher FTE:

Highly Qualified Teacher FTE:

Not Highly Qualified FTE:

Total Number of Classes:

Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers:

1155

Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers:

10

Table 3. District Classes Taught by Highly and Not Highly Qualfied Teachers

Percer	nt of Classes Taught b	y Highly Qualified T	Ceachers	Percent of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers							
2005-06	2006-07	2006-07 2007-08		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09				
98.9	99.1	100.0	99.1	1.1	0.9	0.0	0.9				

### Table 4. Connecticut Classes Taught by Highly and Not Highly Qualfied Teachers

	Percent of	Classes Taught b	y Highly Qualifi	ed Teachers	Percent of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers						
-	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09			
State Overall	96.8	98.0	98.5	98.7	3.2	2.0	2.0	1.3			
State High Poverty Schools	93.4	95.9	97.0	97.5	6.6	1.5	3.0	2.5			
State Low Poverty Schools	98.1	98.9	99.9	99.2	1.9	1.1	0.1	2.5			
State High Minority Schools	93.1	95.8	96.8	97.5	6.9	4.2	3.2	2.5			
State Low Minority Schools	97.9	98.6	99.1	99.2	2.1	1.4	0.9	0.8			

<sup>\*</sup> NCLB requires this information for schools in the state, not districts, therefore only data for schools in Connecticut are provided.

#### CONNECTICUT RESULTS FROM THE 2007 NATIONAL ASSESSMENT OF EDUCATIONAL PROGRESS (NAEP)

NAEP often is called the "Nation's Report Card." It is the only measure of student achievement in the United States where you can compare the performance of students in a state with the performance of students across the nation or in other states. NAEP, sponsored by the U.S. Department of Education, has been conducted for over 30 years. Beginning this year, the U.S. Department of Education requires states to report state-level NAEP results in state and district Adequate Yearly Progress report cards. The new reporting requirement was designed to provide parents and the public with additional important information about the performance of the students in their state. However, there are important differences to consider when reviewing state-level NAEP results alongside results from the Connecticut Mastery Test (CMT). Specifically, state assessments and NAEP are developed for different purposes and performance standards (e.g., proficient) are set independently. Therefore, one should not expect performance results to be the same across CMT and NAEP. Instead, NAEP results are meant to complement our state assessment results. NAEP can be helpful in gauging the progress of Connecticut students over time and in reviewing our state performance relative to the performance of other states across the country.

The NAEP 2007 achievement data presented below are the percentages of Connecticut Grade 4 and 8 students in each of the NAEP performance levels for mathematics and reading.

				GRADE 4	NAEP 20	07			GRADE 8 NAEP 2007								
		MATH	HEMATICS			RF	EADING		MATHEMATICS				READING				
REPORTING GROUP	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced	
Connecticut Overall	16	39	37	7	27	31	29	12	27	38	26	8	23	40	33	5	
White	6	37	47	10	16	32	37	16	17	40	33	11	14	39	40	6	
Black	40	44	15	1	53	32	12	2	56	37	7	#	43	45	12	#	
Hispanic	36	46	16	2	53	32	13	3	56	34	9	1	48	37	14	1	
Asian/ Pacific Islander	8	27	47	17	16	25	34	25	8	31	37	24	22	33	38	7	
Am Indian/ Alaska Native	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	<del>‡</del>	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡	
Eligible for NSLP <sup>1</sup>	36	48	15	1	56	32	12	1	53	36	9	1	47	40	13	1	
Students with Disabilities	43	44	12	2	66	21	10	2	63	28	8	1	58	33	8	#	
English Language Learners	52	42	6	#	74	18	7	1	87	12	1	#	77	21	2	#	
<sup>1</sup> NSLP is the Nati	onal School	Lunch Prog	gram. This rep	orting group is					ECTICU'	T STUDE!	NT PARTICI	PATION RA	ATES				
	also referred to as "economically disadvantaged."					Gra	Grade 8		]	<u> </u>	Grade 4		Gra	de 8			
‡ Reporting stand	ards not me	t			Math Reading			Math Reading			Math	Reading	Math	Reading			
# Rounds to zero					Studen Disab	its with pilities	90	82	90	85	English Language Learners		97	68	91	67	

For more information about the main differences between NAEP and CMT, please visit http://www.csde.state.ct.us/public/cedar/assessment/national/naep.htm. The official NAEP website is http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/.